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I. Mansfield, ‘Home’ and Longing

Recent revisions and reassessments of English literary modernism have focused on its metropolitanism, and its aesthetics of fragmentation, abstraction and artfulness.¹ Remappings which aim to situate modernism more fully within its socio-cultural matrix, reconsider its transnationalism, such as the transformative cultural impact which occurred at the end of empire, due to the migration of colonial writers, many from non-elite communities, after the 1950s.² This approach opens up new perspectives on the contribution to modernist movements of earlier colonial writers who were neither English nor American. Katherine Mansfield is traditionally celebrated as a modernist because of her formal experimentation, as well as her links with perceived avant garde writers and artists such as John Middleton Murry, A. R. Orage, J. D. Fergusson and others. In addition, the geographical complexity of modernist journals including the New Age and Rhythm, complicates the metropolitan framings of modernism. That she might be a more liminal writer, a ‘colonial modernist’ whose aesthetic and artistic orientations were shaped by her New Zealand origins, a view which hitherto has been little acknowledged, has recently begun to receive critical attention.³ Mansfield’s colonial identity was both formative of her metropolitan modernism and marks her out as distinctive, in particular through her obsession with ‘home’, and with what Emma Neale describes as ‘the fantasy
of emotional settlement’,\(^4\) which inspired her great New Zealand stories like ‘The Garden Party’, ‘At the Bay’ and ‘Prelude’.

The title of this paper alludes to the New Zealand writer Robin Hyde’s *ubi sunt* lament about her famous predecessor, written soon after her death: ‘Where is Katherine with weeds on her grave at Fontainebleau, when what she really wanted was the dark berry along our creeks?’\(^5\) While Hyde’s lament concludes with a hoped-for Arthurian-like ‘return’, this article reflects on Mansfield’s problematics of location, her ambivalence about home and her ontological state of ‘unbelonging’. It argues that her colonial modernism entailed a reconfiguring of the dialectic of home and away, of belonging yet not belonging, because memory and longing led her to construct new images of locatedness in which degrees of belonging (or not) are accounted for.\(^6\) This is evident not only in her last stories about New Zealand, written as forms of commemoration and memorial, but also in her earlier ‘colonial’ stories in which the white settler’s deracination, sometimes manifested in terms of the uncanny, demonstrates an uneasy occupation of colonial territory.

For Mansfield, who often reacted to life’s experiences in extreme terms, her mixed feelings about colonial New Zealand are central to her self-positioning in metropolitan Europe, beginning with the passionate desire to escape the home in Wellington to which she had returned after nearly four years’ education at Queen’s College in Harley Street, London (1903-06), and to rediscover the cultural capital of England. A premonition of the conflict this will entail is found in ‘Juliet’, written in 1906, in which longing ‘for fresh experiences, new places’, carries the proviso ‘but I shall miss the things that I love here’.\(^7\) The effects of the loss of homeland and home, however,
were more severe than her youthful rebellion could ever have anticipated. The tragic
death of her brother, Leslie Heron Beauchamp, from a wartime training accident in
October 1915, deeply traumatised her; in 1917 came the knowledge of her tuberculosis,
making a physical return unlikely; and the fantasies in her marriage with Middleton
Murry of an idealised home, were prevented from being realised by her illness. This loss
and the desire for reconnection appear to have driven her to return to New Zealand
through memory and imagination as her health declined. What Elizabeth Bowen calls ‘the
insatiable longing we call homesickness’ inspired her finest stories. As Bowen says, ‘her
art grew not only from memory but from longing’.  

Mansfield’s youthful reaction against her upbringing, family and nation, as well as her
preoccupation with Oscar Wilde’s celebration of the artificial in art, helped inform her self-
presentation as colonial ‘arriviste’ upon her arrival in England in September 1908.
Fluctuations of identity, manifested in performances of herself as the exotic other – Japanese
or Maori – suggest the social distance she discovered there. Similarly the experimentation
with different versions of her name, the assuming of different names, and her play with
masks, all suggest that impersonation, supported by her gift for mimicry, became second
nature for a while. Such exhibitionism provoked the derision of some literary contemporaries,
who saw her as outlandish, a wild colonial, or, in the memorable words of Virginia Woolf,
like ‘a civet cat that had taken to street walking’. Imitation and disguise may have been a
form of bravado, inspired by a wish to shock and sometimes to go incognito, to adopt a form
of anonymity given her relative anonymity at first in British society. The impulse very likely
sprang from her infatuation with the nineteenth-century symbolists and decadents, Arthur
Symons, Walter Pater, and in particular Oscar Wilde. Wilde’s emphasis on craft, artifice,
immorality and the shaping of one’s own life to that of being an artist encouraged her to experience life as intensely as possible. This fin de siècle aesthetic also catalyzed her search for the unnatural within the familiar as well as the new or foreign; it included that feral savage side of her being, symptomized by her restlessness, which she explored in early vignettes in relation to the Maori and the landscape, and identified with the savage spirit of the land in her story of raw New Zealand life, ‘The Woman at the Store’.

Psychologically, however, Mansfield’s impersonations were a response to her innate loneliness as much as to geographical dislocation. They dramatised and reflected her positioning between cultures, the consequence of being a white settler colonial subject in a metropolitan society. But, as I shall argue, the wearing of different identities and guises also points to her ability to absorb diverse artistic influences: of Post-Impressionism, Expressionism, the Rhythmists. That her colonial identity had already undergone a metropolitan transformation during her education at Queen’s College may explain Mansfield’s predisposition to radical gestures such as imitation and experimentation upon relocation in a metropolitan milieu. Certainly her ability to locate the spaces between people and register the problems in crossing social distances – a feature of the late lyrical stories – might be traced to this to and fro movement between cultures. Her double expatriation, first at the age of 14, when the Beauchamps travelled to England in January 1903, and then her second return to the metropolitan homeland just before she turned 20 in 1908, entailed an unusually radical bifurcation and multiplication of identity. As she wrote to S. S. Koteliansky in 1922, ‘I am a divided being: I am always conscious of this secret disruption in me’; yet this internal splitting with its potential for accretions of identity seems to have
made her adaptable, able to change roles, capable of cultural relativism and receptive to diverse experiences.

II. Colonial versus Metropolitan Influences

Mansfield’s adolescent wish to distance herself from family and nation, then her later urge to recover belonging, are paradigmatic of subjects from the white settler colonies of New Zealand, Australia, South Africa and Canada. They can be read in terms of Homi Bhabha’s argument that ‘the colonial presence is always ambivalent, split between its appearance as original and authoritative and its articulation as repetition and difference’. Bhabha, in talking of colonial imitation (of the metropolitan coloniser), argues that the excess or slippage produced by the ambivalence of mimicry (which embodies the desire for a ‘recognizable Other, as subject of difference which is almost the same but not quite’), does not merely rupture colonial discourse, it becomes transformed into an uncertainty which fixes the colonial subject as a ‘partial [that is, ‘incomplete’ and ‘virtual’] presence’. Critic Alan Lawson, in relating Bhabha’s theories to the ‘Second World’ of the white settler invader colony, focuses on the inherent ambivalence of the white settler subject due to his/her in-between status, caused by two prior sources of cultural authority and authenticity: ‘the originating world of Europe, […] as source of the Second World’s principal cultural authority; and that other First World, that of the First Nations, whose authority the settlers not only effaced and replaced but also desired’. The settler’s interstitial location between the European imperium and the indigene is at once colonising – symbolically erasing and depriving the indigene of voice, partly assimilating him into the European self – and subject to imperializing by the centre. Lawson claims that the settler occupies ‘not unbounded space
but a place of negotiation’, a ‘neither/nor territory’ where binaries such as self/other, here/there, colonizer/ colonized are destabilized. Any sense of belonging becomes problematic, even as this introduces tensions into hegemonic colonial discourse. Subjectively experienced, in betweenness with ‘its internalization of the self/other binary of colonialist relations’, as Stephen Slemon puts it, and its reduced ability to resist any object or discursive structure positioned as purely external to the self, fragments identity into multiple selves and self-positionings; in short, the white settler manifests what Canadian writer Denis Lee calls ‘alien inauthenticity’. These complexities underpin Mansfield’s construction of her own subjectivity and of settler life in her colonial stories.

Bhabha’s theory about the incompleteness and partial presence of the colonial, Lawson’s about the white settler’s effacement and appropriation of indigenous authority and authenticity, and Slemon’s about the settler’s ‘ambivalence of emplacement’ between the ‘First World/Third World, colonizer/colonized binary’, help contextualize Mansfield’s propensity for impersonation and statements about her own plural subjectivity -- ‘True to oneself! Which self? Which of my many […] hundreds of selves’ – and her desire for ‘our own particular self’, the intimation of ‘a mysterious belief in a self which is continuous and permanent’. Crucially moulded by the disjunctures between the imperial and the colonial worlds, and the permanent dislocation caused by being between both but not fully belonging to either, Mansfield, even before she first left New Zealand in 1903, laid claim to the dual sources of the settler’s authority and authenticity. On the one hand, she befriended the Trowells, the musical English family whose twin sons she fell in love with, becoming pregnant to one of them, Garnet Trowell, after her return to London in 1908. On the other, she was erotically attracted to her half-Maori schoolfriend, Martha Grace Mahupuku (called
‘Maata’), from Miss Swainson’s private school in Thorndon, writing of her in 1907: ‘I want Maata. I want her as I have had her – terribly. This is unclean I know but true. What an extraordinary thing – I feel savagely crude, and almost powerfully enamoured of the child’.20 Through her sensations of desire and longing – as in the relationships with Maata and Garnet Trowell – Mansfield mapped out her ‘exotic’ ‘unbelonging’, making a transition between erotic ties a way of focusing her colonial identity in her move from home into exile.

Mansfield saw herself as a hybrid, a metropolitan colonial or a New Zealand European, not a ‘Pakeha’ New Zealander.21 This identity decision partly stemmed from her dissatisfaction with her ‘vulgar’ family and the primitiveness of New Zealand society. As she wrote in a letter to her sister in early 1908, ‘I am ashamed of young New Zealand, but what is to be done’.22 She was attracted to the Maori as the indigenous other, though, and the diary of her three week camping trip into the Ureweras in late 1907 records encounters in terms drawn from European stereotypes of romantic impressionism and exotic indigeneity. Her intense, physical relationship with Maata Mahupuku, however, enabled her to internalize the indigenous presence, to attempt to incorporate it into her identity structures, and so distance herself further from her undesirable Pakeha-New Zealand identity. As Bridget Orr points out, ‘in a settled colony with policies of racial assimilation, the other is with/in you’.23 Although the Maori do not feature in her mature work as subjects in their own right, Maata is an exception. Journal entries and story fragments suggest Mansfield remained obsessed by this erotic, psychic involvement, and the interplay of their identities after their relationship ceased became subject matter for writing. A half-caste Maori called Maata is the heroine of a novel outlined in 1908, while an incomplete bildungsroman of 1910 features a heroine called Maata
who is based on Mansfield herself and incorporates elements of the Maata Mahupuku relationship.  

The vignette, ‘Summer Idylle’ (1906), in which Mansfield reproduces the awakening of sexual desire between two women – one half-Maori, the other Pakeha – as a dialectic exploration of self and other, suggests that the relationship entailed a preoccupation with identity, distance and belonging. Marina, the half-Maori, is at home in the landscape, yet the Pakeha, Hinemoa, after her rhapsodic, semi-erotic awakening, becomes aware of her as exotic, foreign. The names and ethnicities are reversed (the Maori has an Anglo-Celtic name, the New Zealander a Maori name), so destabilizing ethnic stereotypes and Eurocentric colonial norms of self and other. Yet their maritime associations further suggest they represent interrelated parts of the one person, despite remaining culturally distinct: Hinemoa (the Mansfield surrogate), invokes the Hinemoa of Maori legend who swam out into a lake to join her lover on an island, because of adult prohibitions on their meeting, while the more sexually experienced Marina has a name which represents European classical nomenclature and legend.  

The episode concerns the sexual arousal of the virginal Hinemoa, whom Marina calls ‘Snow Maiden’, as symbolised by their dive into the sea’s depths and swim to an island. This awakening comes with her appreciation of Marina’s ethnic difference and her belonging:

Hinemoa fell back a little to see Marina. She loved to watch her complete harmony – it increased her enjoyment.

‘You are just where you ought to be’ she said raising her voice. ‘But I [am not like that]’ said Hinemoa, shaking back her hair. ‘I lack that congruity’.

‘It is because you are so utterly the foreign element – – – you see?’
The gendered and racial connotations of the story include the tensions between the natural and the artificial or unnatural: the ‘other’ is celebrated as natural; Marina’s ‘congruity’, her indigenous ‘belonging’ in the Pacific setting (which the European Hinemoa lacks), recalls Mansfield’s description of a Maori girl in her Urewera notebook as the ‘very incarnation of evening’. In terms of homoerotic, bisexual desire, Marina’s hints of cruelty – saying of the fern trees which ensnare warriors, ‘They are cruel even as I might wish to be to thee, little Hinemoa’, her savagery, ‘half shut eyes, her upper lip drawn back showing her teeth’, as well as her distance, are marked out. Being the ‘foreign element’ situates her as exotic, or as the other half of the divided self, as the foreign or stranger within; according to Kristeva this is the repressed side of the self that emerges to have a conscious mind. In the final section cultural differences map onto ethnic divisions and specific practices are now registered as unnatural. Marina eats for breakfast the Polynesian delicacy, the ‘unnatural’ kumera (a root vegetable with a bluish tinge when cooked); Hinemoa, now dressed in virginal white, sensuously consumes a peach, letting the juice run through her fingers, and then breaks bread, evoking Christian ritual and atonement for guilt:

… Marina laughed. ‘Hinemoa eat a koumara.’
‘No, I don’t like them. They’re blue – they’re too unnatural. Give me some bread.’ Marina handed her a piece, then helped herself to a koumara, which she ate delicately, looking at Hinemoa with a strange half-smile expanding over her face. ‘I eat it for that reason’ she said. ‘I eat it because it is blue.’ ‘Yes.’ said Hinemoa, breaking the bread in her white fingers.

This vignette exemplifies Mansfield’s preoccupation with doubles and sexual transgressiveness, and a characteristic mode of narration in which gender and sexuality are
organizing principles.\textsuperscript{30} In its hints of the primitive, savage and exotic, the sketch is prescient of modernist appropriations of such images and discourses, as evidenced in Roger Fry’s 1910 credo about Post-Impressionist art, in the savagery embraced the Rhythmists, or the barbarism of the Fauvists.\textsuperscript{31} Primitivism would become a trademark of modernist experimentation, developed in order to counteract the staleness of modernity and the mechanisation of civilisation. Mansfield’s attraction to the aims and ideals of the Expressionists evident in ‘Ole Underwood’, written just two years after the Post-Impressionist exhibition in London, has been noted by Pamela Dunbar, while Angela Smith sees all three of Mansfield’s early ‘colonial’ stories written in 1912-13 as ‘impressive for their Fauvist vigour’.\textsuperscript{32}

‘Summer Idylle’ shows Mansfield exploring mutually exclusive categories, the natural and the artificial, to show how the distance between them can be overcome by sexuality and the enactment of desire. The ethnic cross-over suggested by the characters’ names, and the celebration of Marina as foreign suggests that sexually transgressive behaviour as a form of youthful rebellion (as implied by the Hinemoa myth) is enjoyable, even thrilling, despite the connotations of the unnatural (as symbolised by the unnatural blue of the kumera).\textsuperscript{33} Similar play with these categories occur in her 1907 vignette, ‘In the Botanical Gardens’ in which she describes the gardens as ‘a subtle combination of the artificial and the natural’.\textsuperscript{34} Kaplan draws attention to Mansfield’s use of the Wildean aesthetic framework in her aim to write ‘a sketch’ about the Maata/Mansfield pair, in which to ‘fill it with climatic disturbance’ (that is, nature) is likely to clash with ‘the strange longing for the artificial’.\textsuperscript{35} Mansfield at this stage emulated Wilde’s critical attitude toward the natural, copying into her journal his quotation from \textit{Dorian Gray}: ‘Being natural is a pose and the most irritating pose I know’.\textsuperscript{36} She
projects the desired opposite vividly in ‘Juliet’, whose heroine’s departure from her family in
London and willed alienation brings about an enigmatic smile:

She could be just as she liked – they had never known her before. O, what a

comfort it was to know that every minute sent The Others further away from

her! I suppose I am preposterously unnatural, she thought, & smiled.\(^{37}\)

These early works show Mansfield interweaving images of the natural and of ‘belonging’ to

nature, with those of the foreign, unnatural and artificial (with transgressive sexuality being a

motivation and reward); and this exploration enabled her in later stories to use her European
distance to recapture a more densely nuanced sense of belonging in memory and imagination.

III. White settler (un)belonging: the ‘third rate article’

Mansfield’s diary of her camping trip to the Ureweras records, in addition to her attraction to

the Maori, her scepticism about the white settler subject – namely, her touring companions,

the rural Pakeha they encountered, and by extension Mansfield’s bourgeois ‘vulgar’ family in

Wellington. She comments dismissively: ‘I am so tired and sick of the third rate article – give

me the Maori and the [English] tourist but nothing between’.\(^{38}\) Although this included herself,

Mansfield’s Eurocentric aspirations led her to ignore such interpellations and to resist

incorporation into this colonial system of representation. In London, however, she saw herself

as ‘a stranger’, as ‘the little colonial’, no doubt aware of the contradictions.\(^{39}\) Although she

separated herself from the deracinated Pakeha settler subjects of her 1912-13 colonial stories,
she increasingly reentered the same colonial space through memories of childhood, when life began to run out for her, developing what Edward Said calls ‘re-filiation’, or in Lydia Wevers’ terms, ‘a new form of relationship which marks the transition from “nature” to “culture”’. In her late stories, this terrain is inhabited by the Sheridan and Burnell families in Wellington.

Mansfield’s capture of the ‘alien inauthenticity’ of the rural settler continues the paradigms established in ‘Summer Idylle’ and other early sketches, even though partly informed by the modernist valuing of alienation and artifice. It appears in three stories about the colonial outback and colonial life, which were published in Rhythm: ‘The Woman at the Store’ (written 1911, published in 1912), ‘Ole Underwood’ (written 1912, published in January 1913), and ‘Millie’ (written 1913, published in Rhythm’s successor, the Blue Review, in June 1913). A fourth, ‘Old Tar’, written in 1913, is more a narrative of psychic encounter and indigenization, touching on white settler guilt with its implications of injustice and the return of the repressed.41 In turning to the savagery of nature and the cruelty of life in colonial New Zealand for her subject matter, Mansfield responded to Rhythm’s edict: ‘Before art could be human again it must learn to be brutal’.42 The white settler’s alienation and displacement are depicted in terms of murder and betrayal, delusion and madness. Colonial space, which Alan Lawson describes as ‘outside discourse, a place of non-meaning, a place of chaos that threatens the coherence of the subject’, she animates as a feral, hostile force in ‘The Woman at the Store’.43 The approach of evening is described as ‘a curious half-hour when everything appears grotesque – it frightens – as though the savage spirit of the country walked abroad and sneered at what it saw’.44 This recalls the narrator’s troubled identification with primitive, primordial nature in ‘In a Botanical Garden’: ‘And, everywhere that strange, indefinable
scent. As I breathe it, it seems to absorb, to become part of me – and I am old with the age of
centuries, strong with the strength of savagery’. The savage is also associated with
Mansfield’s attraction to women and with feminocentric desire, as in her ‘savagely crude’
feelings of frustrated love for Maata, and the description in the Urewera diary of the young
Maori girl as ‘passionate, violent, crudely savage’.

The various attributions that the concept of the savage came to have for Mansfield,
inform her representation of the white settler subject as isolated and asocial, whose disturbed
psychology and radical alienation epitomize debasement of European enlightenment values.
Extreme dislocation is epitomized in the violent, inarticulate figure of Ole Underwood, who
has murdered a man, and just released from prison after twenty years and subject to fits of
madness, is about to murder again. The rhythms of insanity buzzing in his head are captured
in the narrative voice. The female protagonists of ‘Millie’ and ‘The Woman at the Store’,
victims of patriarchal, colonial structures, are reduced to primitive gestures representing
severe psychological dysfunction. The woman at the store has been driven to murder her
husband, it is suggested, by her extreme isolation and deprivation of any human kindness.
Wevers in fact argues she has been taken over, appropriated, by the barbaric spirit of the
country which the narrator perceives as wandering about at dusk. A similar wandering spirit
appears in ‘Old Tar’. The protagonist is imaged in terms of fantasy and dream and these fairy
tale features are juxtaposed to the ‘uncanny’ revenge that is exacted: Old Tar, who has
inherited land ‘bought’ from the Maori, tramples and gouges it while building his dream
house, and is assailed by the spirits of place.

The story can be read as a critique of colonial ideology in that it dramatizes the
white settler’s insertion of himself into the physical and discursive space of the indigene.
Young Tar (as he is at first), his chest straining against his Sunday clothes as he is told about his inheritance, is a symbolic reminder of the absent Maori, whom his ancestors have exploited and displaced, and of the falseness of the settler dream, shored up by religious convictions and the hubris of white heritage, which replaces indigenous ideas of ownership. Young Tar’s father tells him:

‘… yer know, boy, my Pap bought this from the Maoris – he did. Ye-es! Got it off Ole Puhi for a ‘suit of clothes a’ a looking glass of your Granmaw’s.’ My stars! He had an eye! Larst thing the ole man says to me was – “James,” ‘e says, “don’t you be muckin about with that bit of land top of Makra Hill, Don’t’ you sell it. “‘And it on’, ‘e says, ‘to you an’ yours.’” (299)

The patriarchal desire to ‘‘and it on’, suggests neglect, not just of Maori rights to the land that the settlers have wrongfully seized, but also of indigenous spirituality in relation to the land. But once the house has been completed, Old Tar’s premonition of a Day of Judgement is borne out. As Lawson points out the other, being only displaced not replaced, remains to confirm the boundary of the settler self’s subjectivity; and like Freud’s uncanny, it is always present.48 The obliterated voices and repressed subjects whose land has been appropriated reappear as haunting disturbances in nature, another version of the savage spirit of the land:

In the quiet he heard the sea beat, beat up, and then he heard the wind, very slow, snuffling round the house like a lonely dog. ‘Ooh Hee! Ooh Hee!’ it sounded. ‘A rare, sad noise, thought Old Tar, shaking his head to it, ‘Sounds as if it’d lost
something an’ couldn’t find it again’. Lost for evermore’, and the sad words fell into his quiet heart and started strange uneasy ripples (301).

Old Tar’s disorientation and confusion is anticipated by the narrator’s fears of reprisal in ‘In a Botanical Garden’: ‘Shall I, looking intently, see vague forms lurking in the shadow staring at me malevolently, wildly, the thief of their birthright?’49 The later story illustrates that white settler unbelonging is caused by ignorance, predatory greed and transported puritanism: the white settler needs the presence of the other to know himself, but with it comes the collapse of the dream of colonisation. This moral fable is reminiscent of other tales of pioneering exploration which deal with the capture of native spiritual rites associated with the land, such as James Fenimore Cooper’s Leatherstocking Tales: it concludes with Old Tar’s dawning recognition, painful self-questioning and petition to his silent God: ‘“Wot’s it doing there – wot’s it for?” and ‘Oh Lord, wot ave I done – wot ’ave I done, Lord?”’ (303). Mansfield’s ‘postcolonial’ perspective appears in the way the lost voices and repressed presences return to haunt and disempower the settler who, following the dream of settlement, has been deluded by western notions of possession.

IV. Mansfield as colonial-metropolitan modernist

It has been customary to see Mansfield’s distance from New Zealand as crucial to her literary modernism, but for her modernism to be seen as Eurocentric, being that of an alienated metropolitan modernist.50 The complexity of her colonialism, by contrast, has been argued by Emma Neale, Bridget Orr, Mark Williams, Angela Smith and Salkat Majumadar. Certainly,
the internal ventriloquism, discontinuous narrative structures, and alienated states of being in her autobiographical fragments and stories, can be associated with the interstitial positioning of the colonial as well as with modernist practice. There is room, therefore, for further development of a critical practice in reassessments of modernism which challenges the colonial /metropolitan binary in order to reposition Mansfield more decisively as a liminal artist, a colonial-metropolitan modernist who is located outside as well as within the international establishment.

Mansfield consciously othered herself as a colonial in England. Although her fascination with Maata Mahupuku ceased after 1915, and she abandoned her plans to write the novel Maata, the relationship would have made her more than usually aware of the construction of the social outsider, the indigenous other, the in-between subject, and more deftly to register the gaps in consciousness created by the divisions of class, gender and ethnicity. Her embrace of the white settler’s ‘alien inauthenticity’, combined with the Wildean emphasis on the artificial and unnatural became counterpoints to her social exclusion from the British establishment. As Angela Smith points out, like the Scottish artist J. D. Fergusson, this predisposed her to follow the liberating manifestos of the Post-Impressionists and Fauvists.51 Certainly, as all attempts to write fiction at greater length led only towards thinly disguised autobiography or bildungsroman, her confinement to the short story genre might be traced to her white settler identity structures. Arguably, however, in her short life there was no time to complete her rebellion against colonialism and develop a position that was sufficiently external to her origins from which to create an extended fictional narrative.

I would not wish to claim that this paradigm can be applied with equal value to all Mansfield’s work, or argue that her colonial identity structures informed all her writing or
were singularly responsible for her formal inventiveness. But I suggest that the foundation for her great stories were already there in her early vignettes and sketches, and the modernist experimentation to which she was open was just one new way in which to develop what she had already intuited from her ambivalent and multiple positionings as a white settler subject.
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